



University of **HUDDERSFIELD**

University of Huddersfield Repository

Bills, Paul J., Underwood, R.J., Cann, P., Hart, A, Jiang, Xiangqian and Blunt, Liam

What is required to measure the wear of explanted metal-on-metal hips?

Original Citation

Bills, Paul J., Underwood, R.J., Cann, P., Hart, A, Jiang, Xiangqian and Blunt, Liam (2010) What is required to measure the wear of explanted metal-on-metal hips? In: British Orthopaedic Research Society Annual Meeting, 12th-13th July 2010, Cardiff University.

This version is available at <https://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/11896/>

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

<http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/>

WHAT IS REQUIRED TO MEASURE THE WEAR OF EXPLANTED METAL-ON-METAL HIPS?

P Bills¹, R J Underwood², P M Cann², A Hart³, X Jiang¹, L Blunt¹

¹Centre for Precision Technologies, University of Huddersfield, ²Tribology Group, Imperial College London, ³Department of Musculoskeletal Surgery, Imperial College London

Background

- Worldwide interest in failure of Metal-on-Metal (MoM) hips
- 150,000 large diameter MoM hips implanted in UK
- Failure rate of resurfacings is 7.6%, compared to 3% for cemented hips
- Three designs of MoM hips have been removed from the market in past 4 years
- NJR data suggests 43% of hip failures are unexplained
- Wear analysis is vital tool in understanding failure mechanisms
- Edge loaded cups have linear wear rate 7 times greater than non-edge loaded

Our aims:


To assess two of the most commonly used techniques namely roundness measurement and co-ordinate measurement and consider the advantages and disadvantages of both in detail.

Measurement Requirements


- No British Standard to measure wear of explanted hip joints
- No validated measurement protocol in the literature
- Typical linear wear rates for explanted hips are:
 - Cup 0 – 180 $\mu\text{m}/\text{year}$
 - Head 0 – 750 $\mu\text{m}/\text{year}$
- Accuracy required ~ 1 μm

Wear and Form

- Hip joints are not perfectly spherical as manufactured – the deviations are referred to as “Form”
- The manufactured shape of the components is unknown
- Form errors can be up to 30 μm
- Wear may be smaller than form errors
- Need to be able to separate wear and worn when analysing data



Comparing the Amplitude Wavelength plots for different instrument types¹



Talyrond

The Talyrond 365 is a stylus based roundness machine.

Hip located on a rotating table and the stylus measures the deviation from a perfect circle.


Single profile measured to an accuracy of 30 nm and up to 72,000 data points per rev.

Accuracy: Gauge > 12 nm
Spindle < 0.02 μm


Individual roundness profiles can be stitched together to build up 3D maps



CIRCUMFERENTIAL CUP MEASUREMENT




POLAR HEAD MEASUREMENT



Co-ordinate measuring machine (CMM)

The Zeiss PRISMO is a co-ordinate measuring machine.

- Hip located in a chuck, probe measures grid of points, scanning whole surface to determine extent of 'unworn area'.
- Unworn area scanned to create a reverse engineered 3D CAD surface which represents the component 'pre-wear' surface.
- Whole surface scanned and deviation is mapped.
- The maximum linear wear and wear volume are then calculated directly.




DIN EN ISO 10360-2

Probing error: 0.7 μm

DIN EN ISO 10360-4

Scanning Error: 1.3 μm



Comparison of Talyrond & CMM

	CMM	Talyrond
Cost	~£25 - 250k	~£10 - 80k
Resolution	0.02 – 2 μm	1 – 10 nm
Total Uncertainty	Probing 0.7 μm Scanning 1.3 μm U3 ~ 4 μm	Relative 30 nm Absolute 4 μm
No of data points	10, 000 +	Up to 72,000 points per revolution
Time	15-30 minutes per component dependent on point density	Up to 1.5 hrs per component for 3D map. 2D profile in <1 minute
Absolute or Relative Measurement	Traceable Calibration	Calibrated from traceable standard

Conclusion

- The CMM and Talyrond are both instruments suited to measuring wear of explanted hips.
- Development of robust measurement protocol and standard required including:
 - Comprehensive study of good practice.
 - Verifiable uncertainty statements.